

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Tiered Environment Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

## Project Information

- Project Name: 50th-Year-DCHD-Rebuild-Together-Volunteer-Program
- HEROS Number: 90000010406476

Responsible Entity (RE): EL PASO, City 1 - 300 N. Campbell El Paso TX, 79901

State / Local Identifier: Texas

**RE Preparer:** JoAnn Vera

**Certifying Officer:** Elda Rodriguez Hefner

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity):

Point of Contact:

**Consultant (if applicable):** 

Point of Contact:

**Project Location:** El Paso, TX

Additional Location Information: City of El Paso, within City limits District 1 thru 8.

Direct Comments to: City of El Paso, Department of Community and Human Development 801 Texas Ave., 3rd Floor (CITY 3) El Paso, TX 79901

#### Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

FY2024-2025 50th Year Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Rebuilding Together El Paso, 6400 Airport Rd., El Paso, TX 79925 Volunteer Rehabilitation Program - project will address basic housing maintenance and minor rehab improvements and resident empowerment by creating healthy, safe and decent living conditions, enabling 50 elderly and/or disabled homeowners to extend their life in their own living environment within the city limits of El Paso, TX. Subrecipient will perform allowable basic and necessary repairs on eligible clients' homes with the assistance of volunteers, family members of the homeowners, and, when applicable, paid licensed professionals. The maximum amount allowed for repairs per household will be \$4,999.00.

# Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description: <u>Map City of El Paso.pdf</u>

Approximate size of the project area: more than 1 square mile

Length of time covered by this review: 1 Year

# Maximum number of dwelling units or lots addressed by this tiered review: 50

#### Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5: 58.35(a)(3)

#### Determination:

	Extraordinary circumstances exist and this project may result in significant environmental impact. This project requires preparation of an Environmental Assessment (EA); OR
~	There are no extraordinary circumstances which would require completion of an EA, and this project may remain CEST.

#### Approval Documents:

heros-SignaturePage-50thYrTiered-RebuildTogether\_Signed 6-27-2024.pdf

#### 7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

#### 7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

#### Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
B-24-MC-48-0015	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)	\$200,000.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$200,000.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$200,000.00

# Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors:		Describe here compliance			
Statutes, Executive Orders, and	Was compliance	determinations made at the broad level			
Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4,	achieved at the	and source documentation.			
§58.5, and §58.6	broad level of				
350.5, and 350.0	review?				
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6					
Airport Hazards	🗆 Yes 🗹 No				
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	🗹 Yes 🗆 No	No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas.			
		Compliance based on location and			
		CBMA, See Attachment 2.			
Flood Insurance	🗆 Yes 🗹 No				
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORI	DERS, AND REGULATIO	DNS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5			
Air Quality	🗹 Yes 🗆 No	Based on project description consisting			
		of "minor" rehabilitation of existing			
		residence there are no activities that			
		require evaluation under the Clean Air			
		Act.			
Coastal Zone Management Act	🗹 Yes 🗆 No	El Paso, Texas is not a coastal city. The			
		nearest coastal zone is 600 miles away.			
		See Attachment 5.			
Contamination and Toxic Substances	□ Yes ☑ No				
Endangered Species Act	☑ Yes □ No	The project activities will consist of			
		minor repairs to existing owner			
		occupied single-family homes with no			
		ground disturbance. The project type			
		will have no effect on listed species.			
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	🗹 Yes 🗆 No	Project activities will consist of minor			
		repairs to existing owner-occupied			
		single-family homes and does not meet			
		the definition of HUD-assisted project			
		requirements for explosive hazards per			
		24 CFR Part 51.201. Therefore, the			
		explosive hazard regulations do not			
		apply.			
Farmlands Protection	🗹 Yes 🗆 No	Proposed project does not meet			
		definition of prime and/or unique			
		farmlands. Minor rehabilitation project			
		activities of existing structures are			
		exempt from the FPPA per 7 CFR Part			
		658.3. See Attachment 9.			

Floodplain Management	☑ Yes □ No	Project activities will consist of minor repairs/rehabilitation of existing owner- occupied single-family residences and meets the floodplain management exception at 24 CFR Part 55.12 (b)(2).	
Historic Preservation	🗆 Yes 🗹 No		
Noise Abatement and Control	🗆 Yes 🗹 No		
Sole Source Aquifers	🗹 Yes 🛛 No	There is no sole source aquifer in El Paso, Texas. See Attachment 13.	
Wetlands Protection	☑ Yes □ No	Based on project description no activities would require further evaluation under this section.	
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☑ Yes □ No	El Paso, Texas has no designated wild or scenic rivers. These projects are not within proximity of a NWSRS river. See Attachment 15.	
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE			
Environmental Justice	☑ Yes □ No	Minor Rehab of Single-Family Residence.	

# Supporting documentation

Attachment 2 Coastal.pdf Attachment 5 Coastal Zone.pdf Attachment 9 Farmland.pdf Attachment 13 Aquifers.pdf Attachment 15 Wild River.pdf

# Written Strategies

The following strategies provide the policy, standard, or process to be followed in the sitespecific review for each law, authority, and factor that will require completion of a site-specific review.

1	Airport Hazards
	1. Using resource material NEPAssist Transportation Mapper: *Measure distance from property site to proximity to civilian and/or military airport runway zone. Within 15,000' Military Airport or 2,500' Civilian Airport Runway Zone 2. Determine if project is within RPZ 3. Document project is a minor maintenance/rehabilitation of existing residence. *Activity will not increase density or number of people at site. 4. Map showing site distance from Airport Runway Zone. *If within 2,500' CAP, map or letter stating site not within designation *If within 15,000' MAP, map or letter stating site not within designation 5. Document and map all findings, upload to HEROS.
2	Flood Insurance

	Using FEMA Map Service Center website http://msc.fema.gov 1. Property site is entered
	and FEMA Map downloaded and designation is documented. 2. If property is not in a
	flood zone, FEMA map is uploaded to HEROS ERR and documented in ERR. 3. If property is
	designated, further review and documentation is required. Due to project scope of work,
	it may be determined infeasible, removed from Rebuilding Together and forwarded to
	City of El Paso Rehabilitation program.
3	Contamination and Toxic Substances
	1. Perform onsite inspection using Site-Specific Field Contamination Checklist and take
	pictures. *Document property site be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic
	chemicals and gases within 1 mile of site. 2. Using reference material NEPAssist EPA
	Facilities Mapper: *Review databases maintained by U.S. EPA *Map showing site
	distances from hazardous waste, air pollution, water dischargers, toxic releases,
	superfund, brownfields, RAD Info and toxic substances control act areas. 3. Collect EPA
	reports and document any violations. 4. Document any impact/determination/clean-up
	action to proposed project site. 5. Documentation, maps and reports, upload to HEROS.
4	Historic Preservation
	Per Section 106 review property location, map site and take photos with scope of work;
	submit with a written request for consultation to THC Texas Historical Commission using
	eTRAC (electronic THC Review and Compliance) system to determine if property is eligible
	for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Should SHPO/THC conclude that
	subject actions will not affect property eligible for listing, then the Section 106 Review
	concludes, response from SHPO/THC is uploaded to HEROS ERR and copy placed in file. If
	structure is determined to be listed/eligible for listing in the National Register, a
	Determination of Effect will be filed detailing proposed activities to include plans, specs
	and photos of project.
5	Noise Abatement and Control
	1. Perform onsite inspection using Site-Specific Field Contamination Checklist and take
	pictures. *Document property site be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic
	chemicals and gases within 1 mile of site. 2. Using reference material NEPAssist EPA
	Facilities Mapper: *Review databases maintained by U.S. EPA *Map showing site
	distances from hazardous waste, air pollution, water dischargers, toxic releases,
	superfund, brownfields, RADInfo and toxic substances control act areas. 3. Collect EPA
	reports and document any violations. 4. Document any impact/determination/clean-up
	action to proposed project site. 5. Documentation, maps and reports, upload to HEROS.
Su	pporting documentation
Ju	pporting accumentation

Site Specific Field Contamination Checklist.pdf Site Specific Review Rebuild Together.xlsx

# **APPENDIX A: Site Specific Reviews**