

**Environmental Assessment
Determinations and Compliance Findings
for HUD-assisted Projects
24 CFR Part 58**

Project Information

Project Name: FY23-HOME-Alamito-STREAM-Center

HEROS Number: 900000010320242

Responsible Entity (RE): EL PASO, City 1 - 300 N. Campbell El Paso TX, 79901

RE Preparer: JoAnn Vera

State / Local Identifier: Texas

Certifying Officer: Elda Rodriguez Hefner

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): Housing Authority of the City of El Paso, TX

PHA Code: TX003

Point of Contact: Gerald Cichon

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location: 508 S. Virginia St., El Paso, TX 79901

Additional Location Information:

N/A

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

Proposed public facilities project: Alamito STREAM Center using HUD funds from the 2022-2023 Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Program (CDBG): Alamito STREAM Center - 508 S. Virginia St., El Paso, TX 79901 and relocating Building II - 400 S. Virginia St., El Paso, TX 79901. This facility was constructed for the Housing Authority of the City of El Paso (HACEP) in 1938-40 and was used as the Alamito Apartments Community Center. The building architect was Trost & Trost, El Paso, TX. The site is approximately 27,000 sq. ft (0.61-ac), located at the corner of Virginia Street and 3rd St. The existing building area is 9,160 sq. ft. including exterior porches, covered walkways and courtyard. There are 10 parking spaces on site and approximately 15 spaces available on the street along Virginia St. Open area around building is approximately 10,000 sq. ft. Two new self-standing additions are proposed for a 750 sq. ft. Greenhouse and an 800 sq. ft. vacant building currently located at 600 S. Virginia St. to be re-located next to the existing Center and to be designated Laboratory Room. Although the structure is not a designated historic landmark the City or the Texas Historic Register, is a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between HOME (aka HACEP), the City of El Paso and the State Historic Preservation Office treating it as an historic contributing structure and all design and construction work shall be in accordance with Department of Interior's Historic Preservation Standards. This MOA was a result of a National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 application for a HUD HOPE IV project in 2006. 1. The addition of two small buildings is in the plans as part of this renovation. The first addition was new construction of a Laboratory Room (approx. 600 sq. ft.) with high ceilings, a humidity and air filtration system, and controls for clean room environment. This has been re-examined and HOME would like to re-locate existing building located at 600 S. Virginia St. to be moved to location indicated in the attached plans. The second addition is a greenhouse for a micro-crop vertical set up with irrigation, special lighting, and ventilation system. 2. The building is constructed as a brick masonry structure with concrete beam and roof deck for the flat roof area and wood roof framing for the clay-tile roof areas. A later addition on the north side of the building is constructed with steel roof joists. Further destructive investigation will be necessary to confirm all conditions. The overall structure appears in good condition with a few of spots needing special attention for patching and repair. One area that will need special attention is the concrete decking which may have been damaged by water intrusion due to poor roofing condition in the last few years. Amendment to MOA signed September 2023.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Almost half (44%) of households in El Paso meet the HUD definition of low to moderate income (2020-2025 Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan, El Paso). According to city-data.com, the average Segundo Barrio household brings in \$15,425 per year and over 60% of Segundo Barrio residents report attaining less than a high school education. Access to high-quality learning opportunities is one of the most important determinants of earning potential and is also central to a person's ability to grow towards self-sufficiency and resilience. Although we often think of schools as largely responsible for academic learning, 86% of children's waking time between

birth and high school is spent out-of-school, mainly at home. Access and equity issues related to informal learning opportunities--and the human capital associated with them--are therefore, central for encouraging individual growth and moving families out of poverty. Currently, residents in central El Paso frequently: 1. lack opportunities to stimulate curiosity 2. lack exposure to creative, fun and accessible academic inquiry 3. experience generational subsidized housing due to limited skills which result in low paying jobs 4. report boredom and apathy 5. have limited access to positive role models outside of school 6. are susceptible to loneliness and isolation 7. suffer from poor mental health We envision providing a place-based, transformative environment, where people of all ages can access higher learning opportunities that foster individual growth, vital communities, and civic engagement.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The Alamito STREAM Center is conveniently located in the heart of the historic Segundo Barrio neighborhood at 508 S. Virginia Street. The property is approximately 27,000 sq. ft. and is located at the SEC of E. 3rd Avenue and S. Virginia Street. The primarily residential and pedestrian neighborhood includes single family and multifamily residential properties with vehicular access to collector roads, major thoroughfares, and highways including I-10 and The Cesar Chavez Memorial Highway (Border Highway). The neighborhood is also within proximity to the many amenities and employers in downtown El Paso. HOME's portfolio includes over 1,000 apartments in the immediate proximity of the Alamito STREAM Center with communities including Father Pinto, Alamito Gardens, Alamito Place, Alamito Terrace and Guillen. These HOME communities have all been newly constructed or rehabilitated through various housing programs including HUD's RAD program. Segundo Barrio is one of the only neighborhoods in the city with a library, recreation center, senior center, and indoor swimming pool all within a quarter of a mile or closer to each other. The area includes walkable access to churches, restaurants, retail, and parks. Religious centers include Second Baptist Church at 401 S. Virginia Street, St. Ignatius of Loyola Parish at 408 Park Street, Templo La Potencia De Dios at SWC of E. Delta Drive and St. Vrain, Metropolitan Community Church at 216 S. Ochoa Street and Templo La Evangelica at 519 S. Ochoa. Nearby retail and restaurant locations include Circle K Convenience Store and Gas Station, located one block north at NEC of E. Delta Drive and St. Vrain Street. ALON Gas Station is located east of property at SWC of E. Paisano and S. Cotton Street. Other area businesses include Nachita's Grocery, El Segundo Market, Mata's Produce, Rivera Grocery, Jalisco Cafe, Bowie Bakery, Economy Cash and Carry X-Press, Tres Outlaws Boot Store, Easterling Custom Boot Shoe Store, Acy Auto Center and Amalia Vasquez Jimenez Furniture Store. Restaurants include Los Jarrones Mexican Food, Jalisco Cafe and Frontera Churros and Coffee. Area family resources include San Antonio Adult Daycare, Childs Developmental World, South El Paso Senior Center at 600 S. Ochoa Street, El Paso Public Library Armijo Library Branch at 620 E. Seventh Avenue, Southside Head Start,

Dame LaMano Crisis Center. The school-aged population in the neighborhood is serviced by the El Paso Independent School District (EPISD). The public schools in the area are: Aoy Elementary located on 901 S Campbell St., Hart Elementary located on 1110 Park St., Guillen Middle School located on 900 S Cotton St. These schools are all feeder schools to Bowie High School located on 801 S. San Marcial St. Private and charter schools in the area are: La Fe Preparatory located on 616 E Father Rahm Ave., Lydia Patterson Institute located on 517 S Florence St., Father Yermo Early Learning located on 616 S Virginia St. and Hart New Tech Elementary School. The parks are Armijo Park, Neighborhood Park (710 E. Seventh Ave.), Armijo Recreation Center at 911 S. Ochoa Street, Boys Club Park, Pocket Park (811 S. Florence St.), Lions Plazita, Pocket Park (910 S. Santa Fe St.), Paseo De Los Heroes, Neighborhood Park (601 E. Eighth St.), Tula Irrabali, Neighborhood Park (601 S. Park). Public transportation is provided by Sun Metro Bus with many convenient Bus Stops as well as Bus Route #24 to serve the immediate area and beyond with their extensive Bus network.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

- [EPCAD 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)
- [400 S Virginia St Google Maps.pdf](#)
- [508 S Virginia St Google Maps.pdf](#)
- [Revised.pdf](#)
- [Volume 9.pdf](#)
- [DRAWINGS.pdf](#)
- [FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST.pdf](#)
- [Photos 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)
- [Map.pdf](#)
- [Exterior + Interior Photos.pdf](#)
- [2 Cost Budget.pdf](#)
- [1 Proposed Site Plan - Alamito.pdf](#)
- [HOME Alamito Center.xlsx](#)
- [ALAMITO SOW - 031122 Revised.pdf](#)

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

**7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer
on:**

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
B-22-MC-48-0015	Community Planning and Development (CPD)	Community Development Block Grants (CDBG) (Entitlement)

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount: \$2,525,225.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$2,525,225.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6		
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1, project site is not within a Civilian or Military runway zone.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated

<p>1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]</p>		<p>Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. See Attachment 3: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0039B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.</p>
<p>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5</p>		
<p>Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.</p>
<p>Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.</p>
<p>Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>	<p>Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Please find On-Site Field Contamination Checklist and photos at Inspector section of ER. Also, see Attachment 6 Toxics/Contaminates with sites within 1 mile of project site. Hazardous Waste, 44 sites: Applied Environmental Services ECHO Report with "No Violations Indicated"; Angelus Cleaners, Former Wallis Cleaners, SFPP LP Diamond Junction, Bortec Inc., Bravo Manufacturing, El Paso Times, El Paso Times Inc., Pecos Company, Truck Rail</p>

		<p>Handling Inc., Estrategias Ambientales, Wrangler, Chevron USA, Chevron USA #74340, Chevron USA Inc., El Paso Electric Co., Family Dollar #4351, Guynes Printing Co., Transportes JYR, City of El Paso, City of El Paso Sun Metro, Hilton Camino Real, Terrell Plant, Goodyear Tire, El Paso Police Department, South El Paso Hospital, A + I Supply Co., Family Dollar #7510, General Tire Service, Hatch, Production International, Pronto Paint + Body, Western Technical Institute, Arizona Traders, Dan Post Boots, General Tire Service, Sun World Corp., Union Pacific Yard, El Paso Iron + Metal, National Business Services, Southern Pacific Pipe Lines, Ward Co., Dayton Body + Paint and Superior Printing EPA Reports attached with "No Record Data Available". Water Dischargers, 23 sites: Nuestra Senora, City of El Paso Sun Metro, Arizona Traders, Pellicano Dr. and Frontier Foods ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated"; Demo Alamito ECHO Report attached with "Terminated Permit"; Garden Estates, TXDOT, City of El Paso, First Transit #55429, Greyhound Lines, Painted Sky, Sun Metro, AMC Bus, Canal Wtp, El Paso Water Utilities, El Paso Yard, Delta Operations, Pcm International, Master Fibers, W. Silver Recycling, Flowers Baking and Tays Apartments EPA Reports attached with "No Record Data Available". Air Pollution, 4 sites: Angelus Cleaners, Richard Rosen Inc., Earthgrains Co., and HACEP ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated". Brownfields, 9 sites: 420 N. Campbell, 600 N. Campbell, 418 S. Durango, 300 S. Florence, 300 S. El Paso, City Baseball Facility, 1101 Magoffin, 900 Magoffin and 210 Noble EPA Property Details attached. No significant non-compliance issues and</p>
--	--	--

		will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. The project activities will consist of rehabilitation of an existing community building for STEM youth population with no ground disturbance. The project scope of work will have no effect due to nature of project activities.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. The proposed project will not increase residential density.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The proposed project will be limited to repairs and rehabilitation and will not convert any undeveloped land. Therefore, complies with the Farmlands Protection Policy Act. See Attachment 9.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. See Attachment 10: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0039B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: None. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106. The review staff, led by Charles Peveto and Sheena Cox, has completed its review and has made the following determinations based on the

		information submitted for review: Above-Ground Resources Property/properties are eligible for listing or already listed in the National Register of Historic Places.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See Attachment 12A, 12B and 12C NEPAAssist resource materials for noise attenuation. Major Roadways: E. Paisano Dr., 642 ft. and Railroad: 3,250 ft. Attached HUD dnl Calculator: The project scope of work will have no effect due to nature of project activities.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City of El Paso does not contain any EPA-designated sole source aquifers. See Attachment 13.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. No new construction and/or ground disturbance. Compliance with EO11990, Wetlands Protection Act. See Attachment 14.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no designated wild and scenic rivers on the National Rivers Inventory. See Attachment 15.
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		

Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.
---	---	--

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
LAND DEVELOPMENT			
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	1	rehabilitation of existing structure (public facilities)	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	2	Pre-existing buildings	
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise	2	Airport Noise Contour is outside of the property site. Railroad is approximately 1,458 ft. from property site. Major Roadways: Texas Ave., 730 ft.	
SOCIOECONOMIC			
Employment and Income Patterns	2	N/A	
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	The property is zoned C3	
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	N/A	
COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES			
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	1	Educational and Cultural Facilities have been identified in the proposed project site to benefit the surrounding youth population.	
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	Continued revitalization of the surrounding downtown El Paso community.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	1	Health care is located in the vicinity of the proposed project site.	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The City of El Paso Environmental Services department provides commercial garbage, recycling collection.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides services for project site.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides services for project site.	
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	Police, fire and medical services are available within proximity to property site.	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	Educational and Cultural Facilities have been identified in the vicinity of the proposed project site.	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	2	Ridership is imperative to public transportation's success. The transportation corridor is a benefit to El Paso's future residents because they rely heavily on public transportation to commute to jobs and school.	
NATURAL FEATURES			
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources	2	A proposed Greenhouse will be built onsite.	
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	2	N/A	
Other Factors 1			
Other Factors 2			
CLIMATE AND ENERGY			
Climate Change	2	N/A	
Energy Efficiency	1	Use of energy efficient luminescent lighting.	

Supporting documentation

[Volume 9\(1\).pdf](#)

[Revised\(1\).pdf](#)

[DRAWINGS\(1\).pdf](#)

[04355740 Alamito LBP.pdf](#)
[Exterior + Interior Photos\(1\).pdf](#)

Additional Studies Performed:

N/A

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

JoAnn Vera

7/1/2022 12:00:00 AM

[Revised.pdf](#)
[Volume 9.pdf](#)
[DRAWINGS.pdf](#)
[FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST.pdf](#)
[Photos 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)
[Map.pdf](#)
[Exterior + Interior Photos.pdf](#)
[2 Cost Budget.pdf](#)
[1 Proposed Site Plan - Alamito.pdf](#)
[HOME Alamito Center.xlsx](#)
[ALAMITO SOW - 031122 Revised.pdf](#)

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Fish + Wildlife; NEPA; FEMA

List of Permits Obtained:

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

FEMA Texas & Myrtle Ave. Business District Association 8 Central Cesar Gustavo
Farell President Familias Unidas del Chamizal 8 Central Hilda Villegas President
Southside Neighborhood Association 8 Central Pablo Lopez Chihuahuita
Neighborhood Association 8 Central Silva Rodriguez President

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

HOME is currently looking to renovate and activate Alamito, a 1938 Trost building,
into a thriving community center for inquiry. The development of the extensive 5600
square foot space will revolutionize how people connect with science, technology,
reading and writing, engineering, art and mathematics (STREAM) , while bringing the

best of the humanities and arts in ways that allow for deep human collection. The facility's primary function will be to serve as a science, technology, reading and writing, engineering, art and mathematics learning space for El Paso's inner city kids. It will create innovative programming that will set and take the example of a brighter future to their neighborhood by exposing them to STREAM programs early in life. The renovation and modernization of Alamito will benefit the entire community.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Not applicable since there were no other course of action considered for Alamito center

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

If there is no action taken, the Alamito Trost building will deteriorate and will be non-functionable and a historic building will be lost.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

HOME is currently looking to renovate and activate Alamito, a 1938 Trost building, into a thriving community center for inquiry. The development of the extensive 5600 square foot space will revolutionize how people connect with science, technology, reading and writing, engineering, art and mathematics STREAM, while bringing the best of the humanities and arts in ways that allow for deep human collection. The facility's primary function will be to serve as a science, technology, reading and writing, engineering, art and mathematics learning space for El Pasos inner city kids. It will create innovative programming that will set and take the example of a brighter future to their neighborhood by exposing them to STREAM programs early in life. The renovation and modernization of Alamito will benefit the entire community.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1, project site is not within a Civilian or Military runway zone.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 1 Airport 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 2 Coastal Barrier.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.	Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[Attachment 3 Flood Ins 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The [FEMA Map Service Center](#) provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. See Attachment 3: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0039B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))	40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 4 Air Quality 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 5 Coastal Zone.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
- ASTM Phase II ESA
- Remediation or clean-up plan
- ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
- None of the Above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

- No

Explain:

Upon review, there were no toxic and/or hazardous substance found nearby property site. See Site Specific Field Contamination Checklist. No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements. Please find On-Site Field Contamination Checklist and photos at Inspector section of ER. Also, see Attachment 6 Toxics/Contaminates with sites within 1 mile of project site. Hazardous Waste, 44 sites: Applied Environmental Services ECHO Report with "No Violations Indicated"; Angelus Cleaners, Former Wallis Cleaners, SFPP LP Diamond Junction, Bortec Inc., Bravo Manufacturing, El Paso Times, El Paso Times Inc., Pecos Company, Truck Rail Handling Inc., Estrategias Ambientales, Wrangler, Chevron USA, Chevron USA #74340, Chevron USA Inc., El Paso Electric Co., Family Dollar #4351, Guynes Printing Co., Transportes JYR, City of El Paso, City of El Paso Sun Metro, Hilton Camino Real, Terrell Plant, Goodyear Tire, El Paso Police Department, South El Paso Hospital, A + I Supply Co., Family Dollar #7510, General Tire Service, Hatch, Production International, Pronto Paint + Body, Western Technical Institute, Arizona Traders, Dan Post Boots, General Tire Service, Sun World Corp., Union Pacific Yard, El Paso Iron + Metal, National Business Services, Southern Pacific Pipe Lines, Ward Co., Dayton Body + Paint and Superior Printing EPA Reports attached with "No Record Data Available". Water Dischargers, 23 sites: Nuestra Senora, City of El Paso Sun Metro, Arizona Traders, Pellicano Dr. and Frontier Foods ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated"; Demo Alamito ECHO Report attached with "Terminated Permit"; Garden Estates, TXDOT, City of El Paso, First Transit #55429, Greyhound Lines, Painted Sky, Sun Metro, AMC Bus, Canal Wtp, El Paso Water Utilities, El Paso Yard, Delta Operations, Pcm International, Master Fibers, W. Silver Recycling, Flowers Baking and Tays Apartments EPA Reports attached with "No Record Data Available". Air Pollution, 4 sites: Angelus Cleaners, Richard Rosen Inc., Earthgrains Co., and HACEP ECHO Reports attached with "No Violations Indicated". Brownfields, 9 sites: 420 N. Campbell, 600 N. Campbell, 418 S. Durango, 300 S. Florence, 300 S. El Paso, City Baseball Facility, 1101 Magoffin, 900 Magoffin and 210 Noble EPA Property Details attached. No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with project scope of work.

Supporting documentation

[RCRAINFO Search EPA Wrangler.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Western Techn.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Ward Co.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Union Pacific.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Truck Rail Ha.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Transportes J.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Terrell Plant.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Superior Prin.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Sun World Cor.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA South El Paso.pdf](#)

[RCRAINFO Search EPA SFPP LP Diamond Junc.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Pronto Paint .pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Production In.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Pecos Company.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA National Busi.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Hilton Camino.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Hatch.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Guynes Printi.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Goodyear Tire.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Former Wallis Cleane.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Family Dollar.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Estrategias A.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA El Paso Times.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA El Paso Polic.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA El Paso Iron .pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA El Paso Elect.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Daytona Body.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Dan Post Boot.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA City of El Pa.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Chevron USA I.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Chevron USA 7.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Bravo Manufac.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Bortec Inc.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Arizona Trade.pdf](#)
[RCRAINFO Search EPA Angelus Cleaners.pdf](#)
[Property Profile City Baseball Fa.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 1101 Magoffin.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 900 Myrtle.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 600 N Campbell.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 420 N Campbell.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 418 S Durango.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 300 S Florence.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 300 S El Paso.pdf](#)
[Property Profile 210 Noble.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search US EPA Delta Operatio.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA W Silver Recyclin.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA TXDOT.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA Tays Apts.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA Sun Metro.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA Pcm International.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA Painted Sky.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA Master Fibers.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA Greyhound Lines.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA Garden Estates.pdf](#)

[ICIS Search EPA Flowers Baking.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA First Transit 554.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA COE Sun Metro.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search EPA City of El Paso.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Ric.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Nue.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO HAC.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Fro.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Ear.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Dem.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO COE.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO App.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Ang.pdf](#)
[Attachment 6 Toxics 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (“FWS” and “NMFS” or “the Services”).	The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 <i>et seq.</i>); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).	50 CFR Part 402

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?

- ✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. The project activities will consist of rehabilitation of an existing community building

for STEM youth population with no ground disturbance. The project scope of work will have no effect due to nature of project activities.

Supporting documentation

[Species List Austin Ecological Services .pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. The proposed project will not increase residential density.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	7 CFR Part 658

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The proposed project will be limited to repairs and rehabilitation and will not convert any undeveloped land. Therefore, complies with the Farmlands Protection Policy Act. See Attachment 9.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 9 Farmland.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

- 55.12(c)(3)
- 55.12(c)(4)
- 55.12(c)(5)
- 55.12(c)(6)
- 55.12(c)(7)
- 55.12(c)(8)
- 55.12(c)(9)
- 55.12(c)(10)
- 55.12(c)(11)

None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[Attachment 3 Flood Ins 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. See Attachment 10: FIRM 480214, PANEL 0039B, DATE 10/15/1982, ZONE C.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 10 Floodplain 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 “Protection of Historic Properties” https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-vol3-part800.pdf

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

- ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed

- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Completed

- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

- ✓ Apache Tribe of Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed

- ✓ Comanche Nation of Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ Mescalero Apache Tribe Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ Tonkawa Tribe of Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ White Mountain Apache Tribe Completed
- ✓ Wichita + Affiliated Tribes Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ Ysleta Del Sur Puebla Response Period Elapsed

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Written request for consultation to SHPO/THC with corresponding documentation maps, pictures, scope of work and cost estimate sent via eTRAC, THC electronic tracking system. Submittal of Amendment to MOA 2006.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

- Yes
- No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

Alamito STREAM Center 508 S. Virginia St., El Paso, TX 79901 and relocating Building II 400 S. Virginia St., El Paso, TX 79901

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location / District	National Register Status	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive Information
-------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------	-----------------------

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 – Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Document reason for finding:

Above-Ground Resources Property/properties are eligible for listing or already listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

Yes (check all that apply)

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload

concurrency(s) or objection(s) below.

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: None. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106. The review staff, led by Charles Peveto and Sheena Cox, has completed its review and has made the following determinations based on the information submitted for review:

Above-Ground Resources Property/properties are eligible for listing or already listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

Supporting documentation

[THC 202312706 09212023.msg](#)

[1 MOU-Amendment-Alamito.pdf](#)

[Attachment 11 Historic 400 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

[Attachment 11 Historic 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.	Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields"	Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

None of the above

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See Attachment 12A, 12B and 12C NEPA Assist resource materials for noise attenuation. Major Roadways: E. Paisano Dr., 642 ft. and Railroad: 3,250 ft. Attached HUD dnl Calculator: The project scope of work will have no effect due to nature of project activities.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 12C Roadways Virginia to Paisano.pdf](#)

[Attachment 12A Airport Noise.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)	40 CFR Part 149

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City of El Paso does not contain any EPA-designated sole source aquifers. See Attachment 13.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 13 Aquifers.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed. Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. No new construction and/or ground disturbance. Compliance with EO11990, Wetlands Protection Act. See Attachment 14.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 14 Wetlands 508 S Virginia St 79901.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	36 CFR Part 297

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no designated wild and scenic rivers on the National Rivers Inventory. See Attachment 15.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 15 Wild River.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No