

**Environmental Assessment
Determinations and Compliance Findings
for HUD-assisted Projects
24 CFR Part 58**

Project Information

Project Name: HACEP-El-Cerrito,-1019-JC-Machuca-Dr.,-79922

HEROS Number: 900000010209586

Responsible Entity (RE): EL PASO, City 1 - 300 N. Campbell El Paso TX, 79901

RE Preparer: Jo Ann Vera

State / Local Identifier:

Certifying Officer: Elda Rodriguez Hefner

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): Housing Authority of the City of El Paso
dba HOME

Point of Contact: Tom Deloye

Consultant (if applicable):

Point of Contact:

Project Location: 1019 JC Machuca Dr, El Paso, TX 79922

Additional Location Information:
N/A

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Housing Authority of the City of El Paso (HACEP) dba HOME, El Cerrito, 2019 JC Machuca Dr., El Paso, TX 79922 will undergo substantially rehabilitation to the property completing interior demolition to the studs, improvement to the site and public services will occur as determined. New parking lot, streets and sidewalks will be constructed. Property consists of 25 low-rise townhome style buildings with 123 units. The full gut renovation of the property will include asbestos and lead-based paint abatement, replacement of mechanical, electrical and plumbing, updated interiors, new appliances, cabinets, flooring, windows, updated amenities, landscaping and updated facade.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

J.C. Machuca is a former 122-unit public housing site. The 9.63 acre site has 25 residential buildings, a management office, community center and laundry room, for a total of 28 buildings. J.C. Machuca will be substantially rehabilitated to preserve affordability on the Westside of the City of El Paso. The units will be gutted to the studs and large-size units (4,5 and 6 bedrooms) will be converted to smaller 1 & 2 bedroom units which will also expand the total number of units on site from 122-144. The project will add other amenities, including playgrounds; outdoor seating; and picnic tables with grills. The site will have new walkways, newly paved streets and parking lots; new landscaping, etc. The Part 58 Review will allow the developer/owner, which is HOME, the ability to increase the affordability by providing deeply subsidized units utilizing operating subsidy, both Section 9 (Public Housing), Section 8, and public housing capital funds, to assist low-income El Paso residents. The site will have 124 Project Based Voucher units; and 20 Public Housing units. The project is located off of Sunland at 1039 J.C. Machuca Drive, El Paso, TX 79922.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

J.C. Machuca is currently occupied, approximately, 18 families remain on site and will be relocated to comparable housing. When the disposition approval was received on May 21, 2021, the occupancy of the project was 39 families. The site will be vacant prior to closing on the construction financing. J.C. Machuca is a 50-year old property in need of substantial rehabilitation. The 28 buildings on site include 25-residential buildings; a management office; community center and a laundry room. The project, located in West El Paso, at 1039 J.C. Machuca Drive, El Paso, TX 79922, is bounded by Sundland Drive, and I-10. The property has hotels, restaurants, and retail and commercial buildings within .5 mile. The Westside of El Paso has medical facilities, churches, and other services are easily accessible, including high performing schools, churches and faith-based organizations. Access to fresh produce and meats is within .5 mile at Target and Sprouts. In the 38.95 mile Primary Market Area (PMA) are 10 stabilized residential developments with 1,032 LIHTC units, which provide housing for families and seniors with incomes at or below 60% of AMI. Since 2016, 1,218 units have been rehabilitated or newly constructed to serve low-income families. In 2021 the number of units for the general population is 98, in 2023 the number is projected

to be 99,786; and in five years the number of units will be 98,786. The rental demand in 2021 is 14,192; in 2023 it will be 14,482 and in five years it is projected to be to 14,918. J.C. Machuca is in a desirable area of the City which has experienced significant growth in the past 5 years bringing additional recreational, residential, retail and commercial activity and services.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

- [EPCAD 1019 JC Machuca 79922.pdf](#)
- [Google Maps 1019 Machuca 79922.pdf](#)
- [ERR Form JC Machuca corrected 07302021.pdf](#)
- [FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST El Cerrito.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca west view.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca south view.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca north view.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca Complex\(5\).pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca complex office.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca Complex entrance.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca Complex 6.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca Complex 5.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca Complex 2.pdf](#)
- [Photo Machuca Complex 1.pdf](#)

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
N/A	Public Housing	Other Public Housing Program

N/A	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program
-----	----------------	-------------------------------

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount: \$3,900,713.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$29,825,647.00

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6		
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1, project site is not within a Civilian or Military runway zone.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. Property site is not located in a flood zone. FIRM: 480214, PANEL: 0027D, DATE: 01/03/1997, ZONE: C

STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5		
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks][24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. Property site is not located in a floodplain. FIRM: 480214, PANEL: 0027D, DATE: 01/03/1997, ZONE: C
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. See Attachment 11 and response from SHPO/THC: No

		historic properties are present or affected by the project as proposed.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 64.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See attachments 12, 12A, 12B and 12C NEPA Assist Resource Materials: Airport 10 miles, Railroad 1,522 ft (Sunland Park-USDOT) and Major Roadways Sunland Park Dr. 462 ft. and Frontage Rd. is approximated 1,328 ft. HUD DNL Calculator: 64db DNL, below the acceptable level of 65db DNL levels. No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with the project scope.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City of El Paso does not contain any EPA-designated sole source aquifers. See Attachment 13.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. No new construction and/or ground disturbance. Compliance with EO11990, Wetlands Protection Act. See Attachment 14.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no designated wild and scenic rivers on the National Rivers Inventory. See Attachment 15.
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		

Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.
---	---	--

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact – May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
LAND DEVELOPMENT			
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	1	El Cerrito is a complete gut rehab on approximately 9.65 acres of land in west El Paso. The re-development will consist of the former 122 units to 144 unit reconfigurations. Total of 28 buildings.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	2	Soil is Agua variant and Belen variant. Soils in this group have a high runoff potential when thoroughly wet. Water movement through the soil is restricted or very restricted.	
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise	2	Airport Noise Contour is outside of the property site. Railroad is approximately 1,522 ft. from property site. Major Roadways: Frontage Road is 1,328 ft. and Sunland Park Dr. is 462 ft. from property site. Attached HUD DNL Calculator is at 64dnl, below the acceptable standard of 65db.	
Energy Consumption/Energy Efficiency	1	Implementation of energy conservation materials in the construction of multi-family housing.	
SOCIOECONOMIC			
Employment and Income Patterns	2	This re-development is public housing for very-low to low income households. The City which has	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
LAND DEVELOPMENT			
		experienced significant growth in the westside for the past 5 years bringing additional recreational, residential, retail and commercial activity and services.	
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	The property is zoned C-3	
COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES			
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	2	Educational and Cultural Facilities have been identified in the vicinity of the proposed project site.	
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	1	Commercial Facilities are located in the vicinity of the proposed project site.	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	1	Health care is located in the vicinity of the proposed project site.	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The City of El Paso Environmental Services department provides commercial garbage, recycling collection and disposal services for the project site.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides services for project site.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	El Paso Water Utilities provides services for project site.	
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	1	Police, fire and medical services are available within proximity to property site.	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	1	Parks and Recreation Center are available within close proximity to property site.	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	1	Ridership is imperative to public transportation's success. The transportation corridor is a benefit to El Paso's westside future residents because they rely heavily on public transportation to commute to jobs.	
NATURAL FEATURES			
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources	1	N/A	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
LAND DEVELOPMENT			
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	1	N/A	
Other Factors			

Supporting documentation

[HACEP Machuca Apts Limited ASB Mold LBP Survey.pdf](#)
[Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Part 6.pdf](#)
[Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Part 5.pdf](#)
[Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Part 4.pdf](#)
[Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Part 3.pdf](#)
[Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Part 2.pdf](#)
[Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Part 1.pdf](#)
[Intertek PSI Asbestos Survey Report.pdf](#)

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Jo Ann Vera

7/28/2021 12:00:00 AM

[ERR Form JC Machuca corrected 07302021.pdf](#)
[FIELD CONTAMINATION CHECKLIST El Cerrito.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca west view.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca south view.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca north view.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca Complex\(5\).pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca complex office.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca Complex entrance.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca Complex 6.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca Complex 5.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca Complex 2.pdf](#)
[Photo Machuca Complex 1.pdf](#)

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

NEPA website FEMA website Planning and Inspections for the City of El Paso
Neighborhood Associations US Fish and Wildlife website

[Neighborhood Associations Westside Machuca.docx](#)

List of Permits Obtained:

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The property has 25 residential buildings, a management office, community center and laundry room, for a total of 28 buildings. J.C. Machuca will be substantially rehabilitated to preserve affordability on the Westside of the City of El Paso. The units will be gutted to the studs and large-size units (4,5 and 6 bedrooms) will be converted to smaller 1 & 2 bedroom units which will also expand the total number of units on site from 122-144. The project will add other amenities, including playgrounds; outdoor seating; and picnic tables with grills. The site will have new walkways, newly paved streets and parking lots; new landscaping, etc. The Housing Authority of El Paso dba HOME understands that the units are in a state of disrepair and a blight to the neighborhood, without redevelopment, the property will continue to deteriorate.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

The subject property is currently in a state of disrepair and is becoming a blight to the neighborhood. Without redevelopment, the property will continue to deteriorate. The existing buildings will be a complete gut rehab.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

There are no action alternatives. The property consists of approximately 9.65-acres and will consist of 144 apartment units.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The primary objectives of the proposed project is to provide low-income housing and revitalize a deteriorating area of west El Paso.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
--	--	---	----------------------------	-----------------

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. See Attachment 1, project site is not within a Civilian or Military runway zone.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 1 Airport Hazards.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

1. Is the project located in a CBRS Unit?

No

Document and upload map and documentation below.

Yes

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in a CBRS Unit. Therefore, this project has no potential to impact a CBRS Unit and is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. No Coastal Barriers in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CBMA, See Attachment 2.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 2 Coastal Barrier.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be used in floodplains unless the community participates in National Flood Insurance Program and flood insurance is both obtained and maintained.	Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 as amended (42 USC 4001-4128)	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1) and 24 CFR 58.6(a) and (b); 24 CFR 55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[Attachment 3 Flood Zone.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The [FEMA Map Service Center](#) provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary **Compliance Determination**

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements. Property site is not located in a flood zone. FIRM: 480214, PANEL: 0027D, DATE: 01/03/1997, ZONE: C

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))	40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 4 Air Quality Ozone.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. No Coastal Zones in El Paso, Texas. Compliance based on location and CZMA. See Attachment 5.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 5 Coastal Zone.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
- ASTM Phase II ESA
- Remediation or clean-up plan
- ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
- None of the Above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

No

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Supporting documentation

[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Walmart Neighborhood Market.pdf](#)

[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Sears\(1\).pdf](#)

[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Sears.pdf](#)

[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Penske Truck Leasing.pdf](#)

[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Oklahoma Installation.pdf](#)

[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Kmart.pdf](#)
[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Kits Cameras Inc.pdf](#)
[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Home Club.pdf](#)
[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Family Dollar.pdf](#)
[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts DG Shelter Products.pdf](#)
[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts CofE Police Headquarters.pdf](#)
[RCRAInfo Search Results Envirofacts Carrier Corp.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts Rio Grande Substation.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts Real Stone Work\(1\).pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts Real Stone Work.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts Loop 375 Border West.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts Global Alternative Fuels.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts El Paso Electric.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts Anapra Stormwater Station.pdf](#)
[ICIS Search Results Envirofacts American Eagle Brick Co.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Sunland Park Racetrack.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO EP Sunland Stables.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO CST Store.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO City of El Paso.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO American Minerals.pdf](#)
[Detailed Facility Report ECHO Global Alternative Fuels.pdf](#)
[Attachment 6 Toxics.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) mandates that federal agencies ensure that actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out shall not jeopardize the continued existence of federally listed plants and animals or result in the adverse modification or destruction of designated critical habitat. Where their actions may affect resources protected by the ESA, agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries Service (“FWS” and “NMFS” or “the Services”).	The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 <i>et seq.</i>); particularly section 7 (16 USC 1536).	50 CFR Part 402

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect species or habitats?

- ✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection Policy Act (FPPA) discourages federal activities that would convert farmland to nonagricultural purposes.	Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et seq.)	7 CFR Part 658

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 9 Farmland.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988, Floodplain Management, requires federal activities to avoid impacts to floodplains and to avoid direct and indirect support of floodplain development to the extent practicable.	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

- 55.12(c)(3)
- 55.12(c)(4)
- 55.12(c)(5)
- 55.12(c)(6)
- 55.12(c)(7)
- 55.12(c)(8)
- 55.12(c)(9)
- 55.12(c)(10)
- 55.12(c)(11)
- None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

[Attachment 3 Flood Zone.pdf](#)

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

- No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988. Property site is not located in a floodplain. FIRM: 480214, PANEL: 0027D, DATE: 01/03/1997, ZONE: C

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 10 Floodplain.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) require a consultative process to identify historic properties, assess project impacts on them, and avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse effects	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470f)	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic Properties" http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_10/36cfr800_10.html

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

- ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed

- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required

- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
 - ✓ Apache Tribe of Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed
 - ✓ Comanche Nation of Oklahoma Response Period Elapsed

✓ Fort Sill Apache Tribe	Response Period Elapsed
✓ Mescalero Apache Tribe of New Mexico	Response Period Elapsed
✓ Tonkawa Tribe of Oklahoma	Response Period Elapsed
✓ White Mountain Apache Tribe	Completed
✓ Wichita and Affiliated Tribes	Response Period Elapsed
✓ Ysleta Del Sur Pueblo	Response Period Elapsed

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Written request for consultation to SHPO/THC with corresponding documentation maps, pictures, scope of work and cost estimate sent via eTRAC, THC electronic tracking system.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

- 1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:**

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location / District	National Register Status	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive Information
--------------------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------

Additional Notes:

- 2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the**

project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. See Attachment 11 and response from SHPO/THC: No historic properties are present or affected by the project as proposed.

Supporting documentation

[THPO Consultation Letter White Mountain Apache Tribe.pdf](#)

[Section 106 Submission 202114244.msg](#)
[Attachment 11 Historical.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect residential properties from excessive noise exposure. HUD encourages mitigation as appropriate.	Noise Control Act of 1972 General Services Administration Federal Management Circular 75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at Federal Airfields"	Title 24 CFR 51 Subpart B

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

NOTE: For major or substantial rehabilitation in Normally Unacceptable zones, HUD encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. For major rehabilitation in Unacceptable zones, HUD strongly encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. See 24 CFR 51 Subpart B for further details.

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster
None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

- ✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

5. **Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the**

- ✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 64

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 64

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 64.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See attachments 12, 12A, 12B and 12C NEPA Assist Resource Materials: Airport 10 miles,

Railroad 1,522 ft (Sunland Park-USDOT) and Major Roadways Sunland Park Dr. 462 ft. and Frontage Rd. is approximated 1,328 ft. HUD DNL Calculator: 64db DNL, below the acceptable level of 65db DNL levels. No significant non-compliance issues and will not impact nor conflict with the project scope.

Supporting documentation

[DNL Calculator HUD Exchange JC Machuca.pdf](#)
[TXDOT Sunland Park Dr.pdf](#)
[US DOT Crossing Inventory Sunland Park Dr.PDF](#)
[Attachment 12C Noise Roadways Sunland Park Dr.pdf](#)
[Attachment 12B Noise Railroad.pdf](#)
[Attachment 12A Noise Airport.pdf](#)
[Attachment 12 Airport Noise Contour.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 protects drinking water systems which are the sole or principal drinking water source for an area and which, if contaminated, would create a significant hazard to public health.	Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201, 300f et seq., and 21 U.S.C. 349)	40 CFR Part 149

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. The City of El Paso does not contain any EPA-designated sole source aquifers. See Attachment 13.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 13 Aquifers.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or indirect support of new construction impacting wetlands wherever there is a practicable alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a primary screening tool, but observed or known wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also be processed Off-site impacts that result in draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands must also be processed.	Executive Order 11990	24 CFR 55.20 can be used for general guidance regarding the 8 Step Process.

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. No new construction and/or ground disturbance. Compliance with EO11990, Wetlands Protection Act. See Attachment 14.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 14 Wetlands.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act provides federal protection for certain free-flowing, wild, scenic and recreational rivers designated as components or potential components of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS) from the effects of construction or development.	The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287), particularly section 7(b) and (c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	36 CFR Part 297

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. El Paso, Texas has no designated wild and scenic rivers on the National Rivers Inventory. See Attachment 15.

Supporting documentation

[Attachment 15 Wild River.pdf](#)

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation about mitigating the impacts or move the project.	Executive Order 12898	

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No